

Mr. SPEAKER.—I order the Hon'ble Member Sri Narasimhe Gowda to withdraw from the House.

(ಶ್ರೀ ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡರು ಕುಳಿತಿದ್ದರು)

Mr. SPEAKER.—I order the Hon'ble Member to withdraw from the House. Is he going to withdraw or shall I call the Marshal?

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Sir, I want you to reconsider your orders.

(Sri N. G. Narasimhe Gowda with drew from the House at this stage.)

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY (Baindur).—Mr. Speaker, supporting the Budget under discussion, I wish to make a few observations.

At the outset let me congratulate the Hon'ble Minister for Finance for having presented a well balanced and excellent budget. One redeeming feature of the Budget is that the Hon'ble Minister for Finance expressed his intention not to levy any fresh taxation on a people who are already burdened with high taxation. Without any fresh taxation, I think our State can have a very sound finance provided the existing taxation measures are strictly and vigilantly enforced. The New State of Mysore came into existence about $3\frac{1}{2}$ years ago and it is regrettable that the Government has not taken any steps for introducing uniformity in land revenue. In the interest of the finances of the State, I think it is necessary that the land revenue should be uniform throughout the State. There may be divided opinion with regard to this. I know some sections of the people are against this. But whatever arguments they may advance against the introduction of a uniform land revenue for the whole State, I say in the interest of finances, it is necessary that there should be uniformity in land revenue. In certain areas, I am surprised to find that resettlement and survey has not been completed. In certain areas of our State, Sir, land revenue is very low and in certain other areas, it is very high.

For example in South Kanara, we are having very high land revenue. Apart from the normal land revenue [just a year before the reorganisation of

States, by a special enactment, the Madras Government levied a heavy surcharge on land revenue. If the Government is not inclined to have a uniform land revenue system for the whole State, I take this opportunity to request the Government at least to keep in abeyance the collection of land revenue surcharge which is levied on the agriculturists of South Kanara under special enactment.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM (Kolar Gold Fields).—Several times you have given a ruling that as far as possible Ministers should remain in the House. Now we are having a general discussion over the Budget and either the Finance Minister or his deputy should be here.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—I am here.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are three Ministers now. The Finance Minister and the Deputy Minister are in the Upper House.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—I am really unable to find reasons why the Government should feel shy or reluctant to introduce a uniform system of land revenue. In this connection I wish to draw the attention of the House to certain observations made by the Hon'ble Finance Minister in his Budget Speech. On page 7 he said :

“However, our efforts to expedite the Survey and Re-Settlements with a view to augment the income from Land Revenue have not yet borne fruit. The process is a slow one and it may take some years before we can actually see the results.”

I do not understand why the process should be so slow in the matter of resettlement and survey and also the introduction of uniform system of land revenue for the whole State. When the Government can undertake and implement big projects in a very short time, I do not think our Government is incapable of doing at least this piece of work as expeditiously as possible.

It is really gratifying that the Hon'ble Finance Minister has made a good gesture in announcing an additional allowance of Rs. 5 per month to